

# Array imaging with additive noise

## Active array imaging

- In the presence of a point reflector at  $\mathbf{z}_{\text{ref}}$  and in the Born approximation, the response matrix is

$$(u_0(\omega))_{rs} = \hat{G}(\omega, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{z}_{\text{ref}}) \frac{\omega^2}{c_0^2} \sigma_{\text{ref}} l_{\text{ref}}^3 \hat{G}(\omega, \mathbf{z}_{\text{ref}}, \mathbf{x}_s), \quad r, s = 1, \dots, N$$

or equivalently:

$$\mathbf{u}_0(\omega) = \tau_{\text{ref}} \mathbf{g}(\omega, \mathbf{z}_{\text{ref}}) \mathbf{g}(\omega, \mathbf{z}_{\text{ref}})^T, \quad \text{with} \quad \tau_{\text{ref}} = \frac{\omega^2}{c_0^2} \sigma_{\text{ref}} l_{\text{ref}}^3 \left( \sum_{l=1}^N |\hat{G}(\omega, \mathbf{z}_{\text{ref}}, \mathbf{x}_l)|^2 \right)$$

- $\tau_{\text{ref}}$  is the scattering amplitude of the reflector,
- $l_{\text{ref}}^3$  is the volume of the reflector,
- $\mathbf{g}(\omega, \mathbf{x})$  is the normalized vector of Green's functions from the array to the point  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$\mathbf{g}(\omega, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\left( \sum_{l=1}^N |\hat{G}(\omega, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_l)|^2 \right)^{1/2}} \left( \hat{G}(\omega, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_j) \right)_{j=1, \dots, N}$$

The matrix  $\mathbf{u}_0$  is symmetric and it has rank 1.

- With an additive Gaussian white noise (with variance  $\delta^2$ ), the data set is

$$\mathbf{u}(\omega) = \mathbf{u}_0(\omega) + \mathbf{W}(\omega)$$

- We want to estimate  $\mathbf{z}_{\text{ref}}$  from the symmetrized matrix  $\mathbf{u}^s(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}(\omega) + \mathbf{u}(\omega)^T)$ .
- RT imaging functional:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{RT}}(\mathbf{z}^S) = \overline{\mathbf{g}(\omega, \mathbf{z}^S)^T} \mathbf{u}^s(\omega) \overline{\mathbf{g}(\omega, \mathbf{z}^S)}$$

where  $\mathbf{g}$  is the normalized vector of Green's functions.

- KM imaging functional:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{KM}}(\mathbf{z}^S) = \overline{\mathbf{d}(\omega, \mathbf{z}^S)^T} \mathbf{u}^s(\omega) \overline{\mathbf{d}(\omega, \mathbf{z}^S)}$$

where

$$\mathbf{d}(\omega, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left( \exp(i\omega \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{z})) \right)_{j=1, \dots, N}$$

- MUSIC imaging functional:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{MU}}(\mathbf{z}^S) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{g}(\omega, \mathbf{z}^S) - \langle \mathbf{v}_1(\omega), \mathbf{g}(\omega, \mathbf{z}^S) \rangle \mathbf{v}_1(\omega)|^2}$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_1(\omega)$  is the first vector of the symmetric SVD (Takagi factorization) of  $\mathbf{u}^s(\omega) = \mathbf{V}(\omega) \mathbf{\Sigma}(\omega) \mathbf{V}(\omega)^T$ .

## Data acquisition for array imaging

- We consider that there are  $M$  sources and  $N$  receivers.

We want to measure the  $N \times M$  response matrix  $\mathbf{u}_0(\omega)$ .

We can do  $M$  experiments.

Each source can emit a unit-amplitude time-harmonic signal.

The measures are noisy: the signal measured by a receiver is corrupted by an additive noise (a complex Gaussian random variable with mean zero and variance  $\delta^2$ ).

- Standard Acquisition

The response matrix is measured during a sequence of  $M$  experiments:

- In the  $m$ th experience,  $m = 1, \dots, M$ , the  $m$ th source generates a time-harmonic signal with unit amplitude.
- the  $N$  receivers record the backscattered waves:

$$u_{nm} = u_{0,nm} + W_{nm}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N$$

$\Leftrightarrow$  we obtain

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \mathbf{W},$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_0$  is the unperturbed response matrix and  $W_{nm}$  are independent complex Gaussian random variables with mean zero and variance  $\delta^2$ .

- Optimal Acquisition: Hadamard Technique

Noise reduction technique in the presence of additive noise.

*Definition:* A real Hadamard matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  of order  $M$  is a  $M \times M$  matrix whose elements are  $-1$  or  $+1$  and such that  $\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} = M\mathbf{I}$ .

Real Hadamard matrices do not exist for all  $M$ . A necessary condition for the existence is that  $M = 1, 2$  or a multiple of 4. A sufficient condition is that  $M$  is a power of two. Explicit examples are known for all  $M$  multiple of 4 up to  $M = 664$ . Hadamard conjecture: a Hadamard matrix of order  $4k$  exists for every integer  $k$ .

*Definition:* A complex Hadamard matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  of order  $M$  is a  $M \times M$  matrix whose elements are of modulus one and such that  $\mathbf{H}^\dagger \mathbf{H} = M\mathbf{I}$ .

Complex Hadamard matrices exist for all  $M$ . For instance the Fourier matrix

$$H_{nm} = \exp \left[ i2\pi \frac{(n-1)(m-1)}{M} \right], \quad m, n = 1, \dots, M,$$

is a complex Hadamard matrix.

*Proposition:* A Hadamard matrix has maximal determinant among matrices with complex entries in the closed unit disk.

More exactly the determinant of any complex  $M \times M$  matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  with  $|H_{mn}| \leq 1$  satisfies  $|\det \mathbf{H}| \leq M^{M/2}$ , with equality attained by a complex Hadamard matrix.

Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be a complex invertible  $M \times M$  matrix with  $|H_{mn}| \leq 1$ .

Multi-source acquisition scheme:

- In the  $m$ th experience,  $m = 1, \dots, M$ , all sources generate time-harmonic signals, the  $m'$  source generating  $H_{m'm}$  (the amplitude is bounded by one).
- The  $N$  receivers record the backscattered waves:

$$\tilde{u}_{nm} = \sum_{m'=1}^M H_{m'm} u_{0,nm'} + W_{nm} = (\mathbf{u}_0 \mathbf{H})_{nm} + W_{nm}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $W_{nm}$  are independent complex Gaussian random variables with mean zero and variance  $\delta^2$ .

- The measured response matrix  $\mathbf{u}$  is obtained by right multiplying by  $\mathbf{H}^{-1}$ :

$$\mathbf{u} := \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{H}^{-1} = \mathbf{u}_0 \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}^{-1} + \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H}^{-1}$$

$\hookrightarrow$  we get the unperturbed matrix  $\mathbf{u}_0$  up to a new noise

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \tilde{\mathbf{W}}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H}^{-1}$$

The choice of the matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  should fulfill the property that the new noise matrix  $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{H}^{-1}$  has independent complex entries with mean zero and **minimal variance**.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[ \overline{\widetilde{W}_{nm}} \widetilde{W}_{n'm'} \right] &= \sum_{q,q'=1}^M \overline{(\mathbf{H}^{-1})_{qm}} (\mathbf{H}^{-1})_{q'm'} \mathbb{E} [ \overline{W_{nq}} W_{n'q'} ] \\
&= \delta^2 \sum_{q,q'=1}^M \overline{(\mathbf{H}^{-1})_{qm}} (\mathbf{H}^{-1})_{q'm'} \mathbf{1}_n(n') \mathbf{1}_q(q') \\
&= \delta^2 \sum_{q=1}^M ((\mathbf{H}^{-1})^\dagger)_{mq} (\mathbf{H}^{-1})_{qm'} \mathbf{1}_n(n') \\
&= \delta^2 ((\mathbf{H}^{-1})^\dagger \mathbf{H}^{-1})_{mm'} \mathbf{1}_n(n'),
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that we look for a complex matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  with entries in the unit disk such that  $(\mathbf{H}^{-1})^\dagger \mathbf{H}^{-1} = c\mathbf{I}$  with a minimal  $c$ . This is equivalent to require that  $\mathbf{H}$  is unitary and that  $|\det \mathbf{H}|$  is maximal.

$\Leftrightarrow$  The optimal matrix  $\mathbf{H}$  that minimizes the noise variance should be a Hadamard matrix. For instance, take the Fourier matrix (in the case of a linear array, this corresponds to an illumination in the form of plane waves with regularly sampled angles).

When the multi-source acquisition scheme is used with a Hadamard technique, we measure

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_0 + \widetilde{\mathbf{W}},$$

where the new noise matrix  $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}$  has independent complex entries with Gaussian statistics, mean zero, and variance  $\delta^2/M$ :

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \overline{\widetilde{W}_{nm}} \widetilde{W}_{n'm'} \right] = \frac{\delta^2}{M} \mathbf{1}_m(m') \mathbf{1}_n(n').$$

This gain of a factor  $\sqrt{M}$  in the signal-to-noise ratio is called the Hadamard advantage.



## A quick introduction to Random Matrix Theory

- Different types of results:
  - description of the global distribution of the singular values,
  - detailed description of the maximal singular value.
- Different types of proofs:
  - asymptotic expansions of explicit expressions (special polynomials),
  - complex analysis (Stieltjes transform of measures).
- The distribution of the singular values depends:
  - on the structure of the matrix,
  - on the correlation between the random coefficients of the matrix,
  - not much on the marginal distribution of the coefficients (in the limit of large matrices).
- Remarkable points: universal results, corresponding to a kind of “central limit theorem”, but with unusual scaling and limit distribution.

## Quantities of interest

Consider a  $n \times n$  diagonalizable random matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with eigenvalues  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ .

Quantities of interest:

1) (integrated) density of states

$$\mu^{(n)}([a, b]) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Card} (i = 1, \dots, n, \text{ such that } \lambda_i \in [a, b])$$

2) spectral gap probability:

$$T^{(n)}(a, b) = \mathbb{P} ( \text{ no eigenvalue in } [a, b] ) = \mathbb{P}(\mu^{(n)}([a, b]) = 0)$$

2bis) distance between two successive eigenvalues.

3) the maximal eigenvalue

$$\lambda_{max}^{(n)} = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} (\lambda_i)$$

## A toy model

The simplest random matrix is the  $n \times n$  matrix:

$$\mathbf{A} = \text{Diag}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

where  $X_i$  are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables (r.v.) with probability density function (pdf)  $f$ :

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 \in [a, b]) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

1) density of states.  $\mu^{(n)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty}$  continuous measure over  $\mathbb{R}$  with density  $f$ .

$$\mu^{(n)}([a, b]) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Card}(i = 1, \dots, n, \lambda_i \in [a, b]) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{[a, b]}(\lambda_i)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{[a, b]}(X_i) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{[a, b]}(X_1)]$$

$$\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_1 \in [a, b]) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

Conclusion: the density of eigenvalues around  $a$  is  $\sim n f(a)$ .

## 2) spectral gap probability.

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(n)}(a, b) &= \mathbb{P}(0 \text{ eigenvalue in } [a, b]) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \notin [a, b], \dots, X_n \notin [a, b]) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_1 \notin [a, b])^n = \left(1 - \int_a^b f(x) dx\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

goes to 0 as soon as  $\int_a^b f(x) dx > 0$ .

Let us look more carefully. We zoom in so that the average density of eigenvalues is equal to 1:

$$b = a + \frac{s}{nf(a)}$$

with  $a$  such that  $f(a) > 0$ . Then

$$T^{(n)}\left(a, a + \frac{s}{nf(a)}\right) \simeq \left(1 - \frac{s}{n}\right)^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-s}$$

It can be shown that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(k \text{ eigenvalues in } \left[a, a + \frac{s}{nf(a)}\right]\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s^k}{k!} e^{-s}$$

→ the number of eigenvalues in a given interval follows a Poisson distribution.

## 2 bis) gap between two successive eigenvalues.

Assume that there is an eigenvalue in  $\lambda_0$ . Denote by  $\lambda_0 + \Delta\lambda$  the following eigenvalue. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(\Delta\lambda > s) = T^{(n-1)}(\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + s)$$

Zoom in so that so that the average density of eigenvalues is equal to 1:

$$\Delta\lambda = \frac{Z_n}{nf(\lambda_0)}$$

with  $a$  such that  $f(a) > 0$ . We have

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_n > s) = T^{(n-1)}\left(\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \frac{Z_n}{nf(\lambda_0)}\right) \simeq \left(1 - \frac{s}{n}\right)^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-s}$$

Therefore  $Z_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Z$  where the pdf of  $Z$  is  $\exp(-z)\mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(z)$  (independent de  $f$ ).

Conclusion: the distance between two successive eigenvalues follows an exponential distribution.

### 3) the maximal eigenvalue

$$\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{max}^{(n)} \leq x) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq x, \dots, X_n \leq x) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq x)^n = \left(1 - \int_x^\infty f(s)ds\right)^n$$

Assume: we can find  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(\lambda_{max}^{(n)} \leq a_n + b_n x)$  converges to a cumulative distribution function  $F(x)$ .

Theorem (Fisher-Tippett-Gnedenko).  $F(x)$  belongs to one of the three following types:

- $F_1(x) = e^{-e^{-x}}$  with support  $\mathbb{R}$  (Weibull).
- $F_{2,\alpha}(x) = e^{-1/x^\alpha}$  with support  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  (Gumbel).
- $F_{3,\alpha}(x) = e^{-(-x)^\alpha}$  with support  $\mathbb{R}^-$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  (Fréchet).

Conclusion:

$$\lambda_{max}^{(n)} = a_n + b_n Y$$

where  $Y$  follows one of the three “extreme” distributions.

Example 1:  $X_i \sim \mathcal{U}([0, 1])$ , i.e.  $f(x) = \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(x)$ .

Then  $a_n = 1$ ,  $b_n = 1/n$ , and  $F = F_{3,1}$ .

Example 2:  $X_i \sim \mathcal{E}(1)$ , i.e.  $f(x) = e^{-x} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\infty)}(x)$ .

Then  $a_n = \ln(n)$ ,  $b_n = 1$ , and  $F = F_1$ .

## Realistic models of random matrices

- Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE)  $\beta = 1$

Real symmetric matrices  $\mathbf{W}$  such that:

- the coefficients  $(W_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}$  are independent.
- $W_{ii} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2\sigma^2)$  and  $W_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ .

- Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE)  $\beta = 2$

Hermitian matrices  $\mathbf{W}$  such that:

- the coefficients  $(\operatorname{Re}(W_{i,j}))_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}, (\operatorname{Im}(W_{i,j}))_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n}$  are independent.
- $W_{ii} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(W_{ij}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{2})$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(W_{ij}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{2})$ .

- The joint pdf of the eigenvalues  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  of  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{W}$  is:

$$Q_{\beta}^{(n)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{Z_{\beta}^{(n)}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^{\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta n}{4\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2\right)$$

Obviously: the eigenvalues are not independent; there is level repulsion.